> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

CASE NO. 20-md-02924-ROSENBERG

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY . West Palm Beach, FL LITIGATION.
. May 6, 2021
$\qquad$ --
$\qquad$

STATUS CONFERENCE (through Zoom)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRUCE REINHART UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: MICHAEL L. MCGLAMRY, ESQ.
Pope McGlamry P.C.
3391 Peachtree Road NE Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30326 404-523-7706

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: M. ELAINE HORN, ESQ.
Williams \& Connolly 725 12th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005 202-434-5567

SARA K. THOMPSON, ESQ.
Greenberg Traurig 3333 Piedmont Road NE Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678-553-2385

TERRY M. HENRY, ESQ.
Blank Rome LLP
One Logan Square 130 N. 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-569-5644

Official Court Reporter: Pauline A. Stipes
HON. ROBIN L. ROSENBERG
Ft. Pierce/West Palm Beach, Fl 772.467.2337

Pauline A. Stipes, Official Federal Reporter

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is Case Number 20-2924, In Re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Multi District Litigation. We are here this morning on a matter that was referred by Judge Rosenberg based upon the parties' submissions relating to possible modifications to Pretrial Orders 54 and 60.

Let me have everyone make an appearance, please. Let me start with counsel for the Plaintiffs, please.

MR. MCGLAMRY: Good morning, your Honor, Mike McGlamry for the Plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. McGlamry. On behalf of the brand Defendants.

MS. HORN: Good morning, your Honor, Elaine Horn from Williams \& Connolly on behalf of the brands.

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Horn. On behalf of the generic Defendants.

MR. HENRY: Good morning, your Honor, it's Terry
Henry, Apotex Corp, on behalf of the generic manufacturers.
MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, your Honor, Sara Thompson for the Teva Defendants on behalf of the generic manufacturers.

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Thompson.
It is my understanding through the special master that we may not be able to proceed with much this morning, or at least from the Defense perspective, because Mr. Sachse, who had been intimately involved in these negotiations, apparently
has a health issue, which $I$ am sorry to hear.
Ms. Horn, I don't know if you or Ms. Thompson or Mr. Henry, who is the right person that can bring the court up to speed on where the Defense is on whether we can go forward this morning?

MS. HORN: Good morning, your Honor, Elaine Horn for the brands. My understanding is that right before Mr. Sachse was taken out, there may have been some preliminary discussions in an attempt to resolve the issues. It is unclear how much more needs to be done on that, but there has not been a resolution. So, if that is still on the table, then we do need more time to get up to speed on that and move that forward.

THE COURT: Let me turn to Mr. Henry or Ms. Thompson. Ms. Thompson, you have your microphone off first so I will turn to you first.

MS. THOMPSON: Sara Thompson for the generic Defendants, your Honor. I think we both turned it off because we both have some updates. I can start that. We have made a substantial amount of progress on the core issue, but we also do believe that there would be some benefit to waiting for some of the other negotiations that are underway with respect to the broader schedule.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Henry.
MR. HENRY: I agree with that analysis, your Honor.
THE COURT: Let me turn to Mr. McGlamry. Mr.
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McGlamry, what $I$ was going to do this morning was just let you all make a record, essentially just ask why do you want the changes you want, why do you oppose the changes that they want, let everybody speak their piece, and then Judge Rosenberg and I could look at a more fully developed argument record and decide how to proceed.

What is your position on whether we should do that here this morning or whether some additional time might be beneficial in the parties resolving some of these issues?

MR. MCGLAMRY: Thank you, your Honor, Mike McGlamry for the Plaintiffs. First of all, I want to say that we are always happy to accommodate Will, I understand he is going through a tough issue here, and so in that sense, first of all, if, you know, this were just an ask for an extension because of that, we would agree.

I also at some level echo what Elaine and Sara and Terry have said about we have had some discussion through the special master about this, we have not resolved it. A couple of days might be helpful, so $I$ do not oppose putting that off a couple of more days, either so that Will can reappear or for us to have an opportunity to do that.

My bigger concern is sort of the overall impact of trying to get these issues resolved because, obviously, we want these edits, if you will, at least from our perspective, to help avoid misunderstandings or further delay.

But I also just want the court to know that as it relates to PTO 60, for example, the April depositions we were scheduled to do, more than 70 percent have not gone forward and, you know, half a dozen or more have been rescheduled three or four times. Again, $I$ am not casting aspersions in any way because they are typically document production related, which are what these edits hopefully will help address, but obviously, as soon as it makes sense to get back or to get this resolved, we would like, obviously, for that to happen.

THE COURT: Thank you. I am going to reset this. It seems to me that giving you some additional time to continue to talk would be productive, and I will certainly accommodate that. In addition, given Mr. Sachse's health and his role in this, I think it is important that he be available to be conferred with.

That being said, let me share some thoughts from the Court's perspective. First of all, I recognize that these PTOs were negotiated in the first instance in good faith. When you are looking forward into the future you do the best you can and try to anticipate problems and you try to create structures that will deal with the problems.

It seems that some of our -- we got close to the center of the bull's eye, but maybe didn't hit exactly the center of the bull's eye on these, and that there does need to be some tweaking, or at least one or more of the parties
believes there needs to be some tweaking, and in good faith wants to have that discussion.

As Judge Rosenberg made clear the other day, the Court is happy to entertain that. If the existing structure isn't working, then the Court will entertain modifications to make it work better.

I also want to make clear, I know the Court has heard both sides and I do think both sides have legitimate positions here. The Plaintiffs are very concerned about getting documents what they view as very late in the procedure which prevents them from taking meaningful depositions, which is causing them to have to put off certain depositions, so $I$ hear that.

I hear from the other side, however, very clearly they are doing a lot. They have produced a lot of documentation in a short period of time, the schedule is compressed and they are doing the best they can. There is certainly no intent to prejudice the Plaintiffs.

So, I think there are equities on both sides of those arguments, at least from what $I$ know so far.

I also acknowledge, as Judge Rosenberg has said, the elephant in the room hanging over all of this is PTO 30, and the Plaintiffs have been asked to submit a proposal, and understandably, getting these issues resolved is going to help them more accurately predict the deadines that they are going
to ask for in PTO 30 and may help the Defendants more accurately either agree or disagree to the deadlines that the Plaintiffs want to propose in a modified PTO 30. So, that is the Court's perspective, I see that.

What I would like to do is this. I would like to give you some time -- I am going to direct you to continue to meet and confer and continue to meet with the special master additionally to try to resolve these issues, but I don't want it to hang on for too long.

What I would like to do is set a status maybe Tuesday -- looking at my calendar here -- Tuesday morning at 9:30, a very brief 10,15 minute status call just to bring me up to speed on where you are and whether you think further discussions are going to be fruitful or whether we have now reached the point where the Court has to get involved.

If you feel at that point the Court needs to get involved, I will schedule a time Wednesday or maybe Thursday to actually have you come in and do what we were going to do today, hopefully on a more narrow number of issues. That way you have the rest of today, tomorrow, I don't expect you to work this weekend, but if you want to, you can, Monday and into Tuesday, and then $I$ can set a quick check-in with you all Tuesday morning.

You can let me know where you are, and if we need to have further proceedings, or if you say to me, look, we are
making good progress with the special master, a couple more days would be helpful, that is great.

I understand some of the negotiations you are going through are touchy issues. One of the things that I know the Plaintiffs are asking for is more teeth, that if the Defendants are late on producing materials that there ought to be some bite for doing that, and the Defendants are asking for a little more understanding that, look, we are really doing the best we can, we only want to prepare our witnesses once. If we have to keep having repetitive $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ depositions or piecemeal depositions it is inefficient.

Again, $I$ hear it from both sides that there is a need for an enforcement mechanism, but also maybe a little bit of understanding of their needs. As Judge Rosenberg has said many times, it is always best for you all to negotiate that out because you can best represent your own views and protect yourselves when you are talking to each other. If the Court gets involved, the Court will make the best judgment it can, but you may not get as much as you want from us, you may be able to negotiate a better deal with each other.

With that, let me ask -- I have criminal court on Tuesday -- actually, I don't have criminal court on Tuesday. How is Tuesday at either 9:30 or 10:00 o'clock, like I said, for just a quick check-in on the status? Mr. McGlamry, does that work for you on your side?

MR. MCGLAMRY: Yes, your Honor, that is perfect. THE COURT: Do you have a preference between 9:30 and 10:00?

MR. MCGLAMRY: No, your Honor, either one is fine with me.

THE COURT: Ms. Horn, any preference?

MS. HORN: No preference.
THE COURT: Mr. Henry?
MR. HENRY: Those times work for me, your Honor. No preference.

THE COURT: Ms. Thompson?
MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor, same for me, they work.

THE COURT: Here is what I am going to do. Out of deference to folks like Ms. Johnston and Mr. Yoo who have to phone in from the west coast, I'll do it at 10:00 so they can get an extra half hour of work in. I would say sleep, but I know them better than that. They can get an extra half hour of billable time in before we have the hearing.

We'll set a status hearing at 10:00 o'clock on Tuesday morning, you can give me a quick check-in. I am not going to rule on anything, I am not going to expect any argument. It literally is just going to be we are making progress, or we have reached a point where we can't make more progress, and then we will see where we are at that point.

With that, Mr. McGlamry, anything else you think we can try to accomplish here this morning?

MR. MCGLAMRY: No, your Honor, I think that's great.
I appreciate your working with us on this and accommodating Will, and hopefully we will be able to resolve this.

THE COURT: I hope so. Ms. Horn, anything else this morning we can take up?

MS. HORN: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Henry?

MR. HENRY: No, your Honor. I appreciate your time and the opportunity to work with the special master. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Thompson, anything else?
MS. THOMPSON: No, your Honor. Thank you very much for your time.

THE COURT: I said this very briefly off the record, I will say it again on the record. I just want to congratulate the McGlamry family, I understand there is a healthy bouncing baby boy, grandson, who has a wonderful first name. Mr. McGlamry, please pass on the Court's best wishes to your daughter, her husband, and your entire family.

MR. MCGLAMRY: Thank you, your Honor. It's been great, it's been exciting, and I appreciate the Court's interest in that. Thank you.

THE COURT: And I ask all of you to please pass along
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to Mr. Sachse the Court's best wishes. I hope he is feeling better.

Okay, we will be in recess. I will see you all on Tuesday morning at 10:00 o'clock.

MR. MCGLAMRY: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Have a good day.
(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded.) * * *
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|  | about [3] 5/17 5/18 7/9 | best [8] 6/19 7/17 9/8 9/15 9/16 9/18 11/20 12/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MR. HENRY: [4] 3/16 4/23 } \\ & \text { 10/8 11/9 } \end{aligned}$ | accommodate [2] 5/12 6/12 | better [4] 7/6 9/20 10/18 |
| MR. McGlamry : [7] 3/8 5/9 | accommodating [1] 11/4 accomplish [1] 11/2 | between [1] 10/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 25 & 10 / 3 & 11 / 2 & 11 / 21 & 12 / 4\end{array}$ | $\text { accurately [2] } 7 / 25 \text { 8/2 }$ | bigger [1] 5/22 |
| MS. HORN: [4] 3/12 4/5 10/6 11/7 | acknowledge [1] 7/21 | billable [1] 10/19 |
| MS. THOMPSON: [4] 3/18 4/15 | actually [2] 8/18 9/22 | bit [1] 9/13 |
| $10 / 11 \quad 11 / 13$ | addition [1] 6/13 | bite [1] 9/7 |
| THE COURT: [19] | additional [2] 5/8 6/11 | Blank [1] 1/22 |
| / | address [1] 6/7 | 7/19 9/12 |
| /s [1] 12/13 | again [3] 6/5 9/12 11/17 | bouncing [1] 11/18 |
| 1 | all [9] 5/2 5/11 5/13 6/17 | brand [1] 3/12 |
| 10 [1] 8/12 | 7/22 8/22 9/15 11/25 12/3 | brands [2] 3/14 4/7 |
| 10:00 [2] 10/3 10/16 | along [1] 11/25 | brief [1] 8/12 |
| 10:00 o'clock [3] 9/23 10/20 | also [6] 4/19 5/16 6/1 7/7 | briefly [1] 11/16 |
| 12/4 | 7/21 9/13 | bring [2] 4/3 8/12 |
| 12th [1] 1/16 | always [2] 5/12 9/15 | broader [1] 4/22 |
| 130 [1] 1/23 | am [7] 4/1 6/5 6/10 8/6 | BRUCE [1] 1/9 |
| 15 [1] 8/12 | 10/14 10/21 10/22 | bull's [2] 6/23 6/24 |
| 18th [1] 1/23 | analysis [1] 4/24 | C |
| 19103 [1] 1/23 | anticipate [1] 6/20 | calendar [1] 8/11 |
| 2 | any [3] 6/5 10/6 10/22 | call [1] 8/12 |
| 20-2924 [1] 3/2 | anything [4] 10/22 11/1 11/6 | can [17] |
| 20-md-02924-ROSENBERG [1] | $11 / 13$ Apotex [1] 3/18 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { can't [1] } & 10 / 24 \\ \text { CASE [2] } & 1 / 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $20005 \text { [1] } 1 / 16$ | apparently [1] 3/25 | casting [1] 6/5 |
| $\text { 202-434-5567 [1] } 1 / 17$ | appearance [1] 3/7 | causing [1] 7/12 |
| 2021 [2] 1/5 12/12 | appreciate [3] 11/4 11/10 | center [2] 6/23 6/24 |
| 215-569-5644 [1] 1/24 | 11/23 | certain [1] 7/12 |
| 2385 [1] 1/20 | April [1] | certainly [2] 6/12 7/ |
| 2500 [1] 1/19 | are [24] ${ }_{\text {argument [2] }}$ / 5 [ 10/22 | certify [1] 12/9 <br> changes [2] 5/3 5/3 |
| 2924 [1] 3/2 | argument [2] 5/5 10/22 | Changes [2] 5/3 5/3 |
| 3 | as [9] 6/1 6/8 6/8 7/3 7/10 | check-in [3] 8/22 9/24 10/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} \hline 30[4] & 7 / 22 & 8 / 1 & 8 / 3 & 9 / 10 \\ 300 & {[1]} & 1 / 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 21 \text { 9/14 } 9 / 199 / 19 \\ \text { ask [5] } 5 / 2 \text { 5/14 } 8 / 1 \quad 9 / 21 \end{gathered}$ | clear [2] 7/3 7/7 <br> clearly [1] 7/14 |
| 30305 [1] 1/20 | 11/25 | close [1] 6/22 |
| 30326 [1] 1/13 | asked [1] 7/23 | coast [1] 10/16 |
| 3333 [1] 1/19 | asking [2] 9/5 9/7 | come [1] 8/18 |
| 3391 [1] 1/12 | aspersions [1] 6/5 | compressed [1] 7/16 |
| 4 | attempt [1] 4/9 | concerned [1] 7/9 |
| 404-523-7706 [1] 1/14 | available [1] 6/14 | concluded [1] 12/7 |
| 5 |  | CONFERENCE [1] 1/9 |
| 54 [1] 3/5 |  | conferred [1] 6/15 |
| 5567 [1] 1/17 | baby [1] 11/19 | congratulate [1] 11/17 |
| 5644 [1] 1/24 | back [1] 6/8 | Connolly [2] $1 / 15$ 3/14 |
| 6 | be [17] | core [1] 4/19 |
| 60 [2] $3 / 6$ $6 / 2$  <br> $678-553-2385$ [1] $1 / 20$  | be some [1] 7/1 <br> BEACH [3] $1 / 2$ 1/5 $2 / 2$ | Corp [1] 3/18 correct [1] 12/9 |
| 7 | 5/23 6/6 9/16 | counsel [1] 3/8 |
| 70 percent [1] 6/3 725 [1] $1 / 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { been [7] } 3 / 25 \text { 4/8 } 4 / 106 / 4 \\ & 7 / 23 \text { 11/22 } 11 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | ```couple [3] 5/18 5/20 9/1 court [14]``` |
| 7706 [1] 1/14 | before [3] 1/9 4/7 10/19 | Court's [5] 6/17 8/4 11/20 |
| 772.467 .2337 [1] 2/2 | behalf [5] 3/11 3/14 3/15 3/18 3/20 | $11 / 23 \quad 12 / 1$ |
| 9 | being [1] 6/16 | criminal [2] 9/21 9/22 |
| 9:30 [3] $8 / 12$ 9/23 10/2 | believe [1] 4/20 | D |
| A | beneficial [1] 5/9 | D.C [1] 1/16 |
| able [3] 3/23 9/20 11/5 | benefit [1] 4/20 | Date [1] 12/12 |


| D | fine [1] 10 | hopefully [3] 6/7 8/19 11/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daughter [1] 11/21 | $\begin{array}{ccllll}\text { first [7] } & 4 / 14 & 4 / 15 & 5 / 11 \\ 5 / 13 & 6 / 17 & 6 / 18 & 11 / 19\end{array}$ | HORN $[7]$ $1 / 15$ $3 / 13$ $3 / 15$ $4 / 2$ <br> $4 / 6$ $10 / 6$ $11 / 6$    |
| day [2] 7/3 12/6 | FL [2] $1 / 52 / 2$ | hour [2] $10 / 17$ 10/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { days [3] } & 5 / 19 & 5 / 20 & 9 / 2\end{array}$ | FLORIDA [1] $1 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { how [3] } & 4 / 9 & 5 / 6 & 9 / 23\end{array}$ |
| deadlines [2] 7/25 8/2 deal [2] 6/21 9/20 | folks [1] 10/15 | however [1] 7/14 |
| deal [2] ${ }^{\text {decide [1] 5/5 }}$ | folks like [1] 10/15 | husband [1] 11/21 |
| DEFENDANTS [8] 1/15 3/12 |  | I |
|  |  | I'll [1] 10/ |
|  |  | impact [1] 5/22 |
|  |  | important [1] 6/14 |
|  |  | inefficient [1] 9/1 |
|  |  | instance [1] 6/18 |
|  |  | intent [1] 7/1 |
|  |  | interest [1] 11/2 |
|  |  | intimately [1] 3 |
| direct [1] 8/6 | G |  |
| disagree [1] 8/2 discussion [2] 5/17 7/2 | GA [2] 1/13 1/20 | is [33] |
| discussions [2] 4/8 8/14 | generic [4] 3/16 3/18 3/20 | isn't [1] |
| DISTRICT [3] 1/1 1/1 3/2 | 4/16 | issue [3] 4/1 4/19 5/13 |
| DIVISION [1] 1/2 | get [9] 4/12 5/23 6/8 6/8 | issues [7] 4/9 5/9 5/23 7/24 |
| do [18] | 8/15 8/16 9/19 10/17 10 | 8/8 8/19 9/4 |
| document [1] | getting [2] 7/9 7/2 | $\text { it's [3] } 3 / 17 \text { 11/22 } 11 / 23$ |
| ation [1] | give [2] 8/5 10/21 |  |
| documents [1] 7/10 | given [1] 6/13 | J |
| doing [4] 7/15 7/17 9/7 9/8 | giving [1] 6/11 | Johnston [1] 10/15 |
| 't [4] 4/2 8/8 8/20 9/22 | go [1] 4/4 |  |
| done [1] 4/10 | going [13] | 7/21 9/14 |
| dozen [1] 6/4 | gone [1] 6/3 | judgment [1] 9/18 |
| E | got [1] | 8/12 9/24 10/23 11/17 |
| each [2] $9 / 17$ $9 / 20$  <br> echo [1] $5 / 16$   <br> edits [2] $5 / 24$ $6 / 7$  <br> either [4] $5 / 20$ $8 / 2$ $9 / 23$ <br> $10 / 4$    | great [3] 9/2 11/3 11/23 | K |
|  | Greenberg [1] 1/18 | keep [1] |
|  | H | $\begin{array}{\|rlllll} \text { know } & {[9]} & 4 / 2 & 5 / 14 & 6 / 1 & 6 / 4 \\ 7 / 7 & 7 / 20 & 8 / 24 & 9 / 4 & 10 / 18 \end{array}$ |
|  | had [2] 3/25 5/17 |  |
| ELAINE [4] $1 / 15$ 3/13 $4 / 6$ | half [3] 6/4 10/17 10 | L |
|  | hang [1] 8/9 | late [2] 7/10 9/6 |
| elephant [1] 7/22 | hanging [1] 7/22 | least [4] 3/24 5/24 6/25 |
| enforcement [1] 9/13 | happen [1] 6/9 | 7/20 |
| $\text { entertain [2] } 7 / 4$ | happy [2] 5/12 7/4 | legitimate [1] 7/8 |
| entire [1] 11/21 | has [7] 4/1 4/10 7/7 7/21 | let [9] 3/7 3/7 4/13 4/25 |
| equities [1] 7/19 | 15 9/14 11/19 | 5/1 5/4 6/16 8/24 9/21 |
| ESQ [4] 1/11 $1 / 1 / 5$ 1/18 $1 / 21$ | have [28] <br> having [1] 9/10 | level [1] 5/16 <br> LIABILITY [1] 1/5 |
| essentially [1] 5/2 | he [3] 5/12 6/14 12/1 | like [6] 6/9 8/5 8/5 8/10 |
| everybody [1] 5/4 | health [2] 4/1 6/13 | 9/23 10/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llrl}\text { everyone [2] } & 3 / 1 & 3 / 7 \\ \text { exactly [1] } 6 / 23\end{array}$ | healthy [1] 11/18 | literally [1] 10/23 |
| exactly [1] 6/23 | hear [4] $4 / 1$ 7/12 $7 / 14$ 9/12 | LITIGATION [2] $1 / 5 \mathrm{3} / 3$ |
| example [1] 6/2 | heard [1] 7/7 | little [2] 9/7 9/13 |
| exciting [1] 11/23 | hearing [3] 10/19 10/20 12/7 | LLP [1] 1/22 |
| existing [1] 7/4 | help [4] 5/25 6/7 7/24 8/1 | Logan [1] 1/22 |
| expect [2] 8/20 10/22 extension [1] 5/14 | helpful [2] 5/19 9/2 | long [1] 8/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { extension } \begin{array}{c} \text { [1] } \\ \text { extra } \\ \text { [2] } \\ 10 / 17 \\ \hline \end{array} 10 / 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { HENRY [7] } & 1 / 21 & 3 / 18 & 4 / 3 & 4 / 13\end{array}$ | look [3] 5/5 8/25 9/8 |
| eye [2] 6/23 6/24 | $4 / 23 \quad 10 / 8 \quad 11 / 9$ er [1] 11/21 | looking [2] 6/19 8/11 lot [2] 7/15 7/15 |
| F | here [7] 3/3 5/8 5/13 7/9 | M |
| ```faith [2] 6/18 7/1 family [2] 11/18 11/21 far [1] 7/20 Federal [1] 12/13 feel [1] 8/16 feeling [1] 12/1``` | 8/11 10/14 11/2 |  |
|  | his [1] 6/13 | made [2] 4/18 7/3 |
|  | hit [1] 6/23 | MAGISTRATE [1] 1/10 |
|  | HON [1] 2/1 | make [6] 3/7 5/2 7/5 7/7 |
|  | Honor [18] | 9/18 10/24 |
|  | HONORABLE [1] 1/9 | makes [1] 6/8 |
|  | hope [2] 11/6 12/1 | making [2] 9/1 10/23 |



| S | those [2] 7/19 10/9 | which [4] 4/1 6/6 7/10 7/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} \hline \text { so [11] } & 4 / 11 & 4 / 14 & 5 / 13 & 5 / 19 \end{array}$ | thoughts [1] 6/16 <br> three [1] 6/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll} \text { who [4] } & 3 / 24 & 4 / 3 & 10 / 15 & 11 / 19 \\ \text { why [2] } & 5 / 2 & 5 / 3 & & \end{array}$ |
| $10 / 1611 / 6$ | through [5] 1/9 3/22 5/13 | will [16] |
| some [16] | 5/17 9/4 | Williams [2] 1/15 3/14 |
| soon [1] 6/8 | Thursday [1] 8/17 | wishes [2] 11/20 12/1 |
| sorry [1] 4/1 | time [9] 4/12 5/8 6/11 7/ | witnesses [1] 9/9 |
| sort [1] 5/22 | $8 / 6$ times [ | wonderful [1] 11/19 |
| SOUTHERN [1] 1/1 | times [3] $6 / 5$ $9 / 15$ $10 / 9$ <br> today [2] $8 / 19$ $8 / 20$  | work [7] 7/6 8/21 9/25 10/9 10/13 10/17 11/11 |
| speak [1] 5/4 <br> special [5] 3/22 5/18 8/7 | tomorrow [1] 8/20 | working [2] $7 / 5$ 11/4 |
| $\text { special [5] } 3 / 22 \text { 5/18 8/ }$ $9 / 1 \text { 11/11 }$ | too [1] 8/9 | would [9] 4/20 5/15 6/9 6/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { speed [3] } & 4 / 4 & 4 / 12 & 8 / 13\end{array}$ | touchy [1] 9/4 | 8/5 8/5 8/10 9/2 10/17 |
| Square [1] 1/22 | transcript [1] 12/9 | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { start [2] } & 3 / 8 & 4 / 18 \\ \text { STATES [2] } & 1 / 1 & 1 / 10\end{array}$ | Traurig [1] 1/18 | Yes [1] 10/1 |
| STATES [2] $1 / 1$ $1 / 10$  <br> status [5] $1 / 9$ $8 / 10$ $8 / 12$ | try [4] 6/20 6/20 8/8 11/2 | Yoo [1] 10/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { status [5] 1/9 8/10 8/12 } \\ & 9 / 2410 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | trying [1] 5/23 | you [51] |
| still [1] 4/11 | Tuesday [9] 8/11 8/11 8/22 | your [27] |
| Stipes [2] 2/1 12/13 | 8/23 9/22 9/22 9/23 10/20 | yourselves [1] 9/17 |
| Street [2] 1/16 1/23 | turn [3] 4/13 4/14 4/25 | Z |
| structure [1] $7 / 4$ | turned [1] 4/17 | ZANTAC [2] 1/4 3/2 |
| structures [1] 6/20 <br> submissions [1] 3/4 | tweaking [2] 6/25 7/1 | Zoom [1] 1/9 |
| $\text { submit [1] } 7 / 23$ | typically [1] 6/6 |  |
| substantial [1] 4/19 | U |  |
| Suite [2] 1/13 1/19 | unclear [1] 4/9 |  |
| T | understand [3] 5/12 9/3 |  |
| table [1] $4 / 11$ | understandably [1] 7/24 |  |
| take [1] 11/7 | understanding [4] 3/22 4/7 |  |
|  | 9/8 9/14 |  |
| talk [1] 6/12 | underway [1] 4/21 |  |
| talking [1] 9/17 | UNITED [2] 1/1 1/10 |  |
| teeth [1] 9/5 | up [4] 4/3 4/12 $8 / 1311 / 7$ |  |
| TERRY [3] 1/21 3/17 5/17 | updates [1] 4/18 |  |
| Teva [1] 3/20 | upon [1] 3/4 |  |
| than [2] 6/3 10/18 | us [3] 5/20 9/19 11/4 |  |
| Thank [9] 5/10 6/10 10/12 | V |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 11 ~ 11 / 14 ~ 11 / 22 ~ 11 / 24 ~ \\ & 12 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | very [6] $7 / 9$ 7/10 $7 / 14$ 8/12 |  |
| that [55] | 11/14 11/16 |  |
| that we [1] 3/23 | view [1] 7/10 |  |
| that's [1] 11/3 | views [1] 9/16 |  |
| the Teva [1] 3/20 | W |  |
| their [2] 5/4 9/14 | waiting [1] 4/20 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { them [4] } 7 / 11 \quad 7 / 12 \quad 7 / 25 \\ & 10 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | want [13] |  |
| then [5] 4/11 5/4 7/5 8/22 | wants [1] 7/2 |  |
| 10/25 | was [5] 3/3 4/8 5/1 5/1 $12 / 7$ |  |
| there [10] $4 / 8 \quad 4 / 10$ 4/20 | way [2] 6/5 8/19 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll} 6 / 24 & 7 / 1 & 7 / 17 & 7 / 19 & 9 / 6 & 9 / 12 \\ 11 / 18 \end{array}$ | we [35] |  |
| hereupon [1] 12/7 | We'll [1] 10/20 |  |
| these [9] 3/25 5/9 5/23 5/24 | Wednesday [1] 8/17 |  |
| 6/7 6/17 6/24 7/24 8/8 | weekend [1] 8/21 |  |
| they [11] 5/3 6/6 7/10 7/14 | were negotiated [1] 6/18 |  |
| ```7/15 7/16 7/17 7/25 10/13 10/16 10/18``` | west [4] 1/2 1/5 2/2 10/16 |  |
| things [1] 9/4 | what [10] 5/1 5/7 5/16 6/7 |  |
| think [7] $4 / 17$ 6/14 $7 / 8$ 7/19 | 7/10 7/20 8/5 8/10 8/18 |  |
| 8/13 11/1 11/3 | 10/14 |  |
| this [19] | when [2] 6/18 9/17 |  |
| THOMPSON [9] $1 / 18$ 3/19 3/21 | where [6] $4 / 4$ 8/13 $8 / 15$ 8/24 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 2 \quad 4 / 13 \\ & 11 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { whether [5] } 4 / 45 / 75 / 88 / 13 \\ & 8 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |

